Application of the Sterically Demanding Hydrotris(3-tert-butyl-5-methylpyrazolyl)borate Ligand to Ln(II) Chemistry: Synthesis of a New Class of Mixed-Ligand Yb(II) Complexes[†]

Leah Hasinoff,[‡] Josef Takats,^{*} and Xing Wang Zhang

Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G2

Andrew H. Bond and Robin D. Rogers

Department of Chemistry, Northern Illinois University DeKalb, Illinois 60115

Received June 14, 1994

The last decade has witnessed an explosive growth in the organometallic chemistry of the Ln(II) elements.¹ This flurry of activity and interest can be traced directly to the easy availability of LnI_2 (Ln = Sm, Yb)² and the preparation therefrom of soluble and reactive bis-ligated complexes $Ln(C_5Me_5)_2$, $^3Ln[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ - $(THF)_{2}^{4}(Ln = Sm, Yb)$, and $Yb\{(NSiMe_{3})_{2}CC_{6}H_{4}R\}_{2}(THF)_{2}^{5}$ However, despite the remarkable and often unique reactivity exhibited by these complexes, as shown in particular by Andersen,6 Evans,⁷ and their co-workers, the number of mono-ligated Ln-(II) complexes "LLnX" (X = halide) remains surprisingly small. Since the reactive Ln-X site is the key for the synthesis of mixedligand "LLnER" (ER = amide, alkoxide, hydrocarbyl, hydride, etc.) type complexes, this lack of suitable starting material is a serious impediment for the development of this area of organolanthanide chemistry.

Evans et al. have reported the preparation and structure of $[(C_5Me_5)Sm(\mu-I)(THF)_2]_2^8$ and $[(Me_3Si)_2NSm(\mu-I)(DME)-$ (THF)]2,4ª but the complexes tend toward ligand redistribution to SmI₂(THF)₂ and SmL₂. Schumann et al.⁹ have synthesized $Cp'YbCl(B)_2$ ($Cp' = C_5H_5$, C_5Me_5 ; B = THF, 1/2DME), but, to our knowledge, there are no reports on attempted derivatization of the complexes. The mixed-ligand complex (C5-Me₅)Yb{Sn(CH₂^tBu)₃}(THF)₂ has been reported by Cloke et al.10

Here we report that the sterically demanding hydrotris(3-tertbutyl-5-methylpyrazolyl)borate ligand (Tp^{tBu,Me})¹¹ provides a

L. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 457, 41. (c) Schaverien, C. J. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 36, 283.

(2) Namy, J. L.; Girard, P.; Kagan, H. B.; Caro, P. E. Nouv. J. Chim. 1981, 5, 479.

(3) (a) Evans, W. J.; Hughes, L. A.; Hanusa, T. P. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1286. (b) Tilly, D. T.; Andersen, R. A.; Spencer, B.; Ruben, H.; Zalkin, A.; Templeton, D. H. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 2999.

(4) (a) Evans, W. J.; Drummond, D. K.; Zhang, H. M.; Atwood, J. L. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 575. (b) Tilly, T. D.; Andersen, R. A.; Zalkin, A.

Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 2271. (5) Wedler, M.; Noltemeyer, M.; Pieper, U.; Schmidt, H. G.; Stalke, D.;

Edelmann, F. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1990, 29, 894.
(6) (a) Burns, C. J.; Andersen, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 941. (b) Berg, D. J.; Burns, C. J.; Andersen, R. A.; Zalkin, A. Organometallics 1989, 8, 1865.

 (7) (a) Evans, W. J.; Ulibarri, T. A.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990,
 2, 2314. (b) Evans, W. J.; Gonzales, S. L.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9880. (c) Evans, W. J.; Kohn, G. K.; Leong, V. S.; Ziller, J. W. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 3592.

(8) Evans, W. J.; Grate, J. W.; Choi, H. W.; Bloom, I.; Hunter, W. E.; Atwood, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 941.
 (9) Swamy, S. J.; Schumann, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 334, 1.

(10) Cloke, F. G. N.; Dalby, C. I.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Karamallakis, H.; Lawless, G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 779.

(11) (a) Trofimenko, S. *Chem. Rev.* 1993, 93, 943. The ligand designation follows this reference. (b) Trofimenko, S.; Calabrese, J. C.; Kochi, J. K.; Korp, J. D.; Wolowiec, S.; Hulsbergen, F. B.; Reedijk, J. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 3943.

Scheme 1



unique coordination environment which allows the isolation of soluble, monomeric (Tp^{iBu,Me})YbI(THF) (1) and (Tp^{iBu,Me})YbER type complexes.

Treatment of a THF solution of YbI2 with 1 equiv of KTp^{Bu,Me} affords (Tp^{tBu,Me})YbI(THF) (1), after simple workup, as a yellow powder in quantitative yield.¹² Complex 1 is soluble in aromatic and ether-type solvents, but only sparingly soluble in hydrocarbons. The compound is stable in solution and has no tendency to disproportionate to Yb(Tp^{'Bu,Me})₂ and YbI₂. The monomeric nature of 1 has been confirmed by single-crystal X-ray structure analysis.¹³ As shown in Scheme 1 the Yb center is five coordinate.¹⁴ The coordination geometry can be described as distorted trigonal bipyramidal with N6 and O(THF) occupying the axial sites, the N6-Yb-O angle being 145.8(2)°. The Yb-I bond length, 3.0536(8) Å, is comparable to the average Sm-I distance of 3.049(5) Å in $(C_5Me_5)_2$ SmI(THF).¹⁵ Since the ionic radii of Yb²⁺ and Sm³⁺ are similar,¹⁶ the presence of fewer ligands in 1 gives a good indication of the large steric size of the Tp^{tBu,Me} moiety. The Yb-O(THF) bond at 2.447(6) Å is only slightly longer than 2.412(5) Å found in (C₅Me₅)₂Yb(THF)(C₇H₈)_{0.5}.^{3b} The average Yb-N(Pz) bond length is 2.45(3) Å, which is 0.1 Å shorter than the similar distances in $Yb(Tp^{Ph})_{2}$,¹⁷ but very close to those found in Yb(Tp^{Me₂})₂ (2.482(5) Å;¹⁷ 2.480(4) Å¹⁸).

The synthetic usefulness of 1 is clearly documented by the examples shown in Scheme 1. Reaction with 1 equiv of NaN-(SiMe₃)₂ affords orange (Tp^{iBu,Me})Yb{N(SiMe₃)₂} (2), in 61% isolated yield. In the solid state (Figure 1) the molecule has approximate C_s symmetry, with one of the pyrazolyl rings and the planar amido ligand defining the mirror plane.¹⁹ The Yb center has a distorted tetrahedral arrangement. The distortion is manifested by the amido nitrogen being 18.6° off the B1---Yb axis. Although steric repulsions may be largely responsible for this, electronic factors must also make a contribution. Indeed the two Yb-N1-Si angles are vastly different; in particular the angle to Si1 has contracted to 107.6(2)°. The resultant short Yb-C1 distance of 3.064(5) Å provides another example of agostic

(13) X-ray data for 1: a = 11.334(3) Å, b = 16.276(5) Å, c = 18.695(9)Å, β , 99.87(3)°, V = 3398 Å³ with Z = 4 in space group $P2_1/n$. T = 20 °C, R = 0.041, $R_w = 0.056$, number of reflections ($F_0 \ge 5\sigma(F_0)$) = 3963, GOF = 2.44, number of reflections = 6535.

(14) Clearly, the tough "tetrahedral enforcer" image of these ligands, so apt with first-row transition metal ions, is somewhat tarnished by the large Yb(II) center. We have other examples of five-accordinate structures with Ln(II) ions; X. W. Zhang, unpublished results.

(15) Evans, W. Zhang, unpublicated results.
(15) Evans, W. J.; Grate, J. W.; Levan, K. R.; Bloom, I.; Petersen, T. T.;
Doedens, R. J.; Zhang, H. M.; Atwood, J. L. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 3614.
(16) Shannon, R. D. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1976, A32, 751.
(17) Takats, J.; Day, V. W.; et al. Manuscript in preparation.
(18) Maunder, G. H.; Sella, A.; Tocher, D. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem.

Commun. 1994, 885.

(19) X-ray data for 2: a = 15.071(3) Å, b = 12.304(3) Å, c = 20.746(6)Å, $\beta = 96.84(2)^\circ$, V = 3819.6 Å³ with Z = 4 in space group $P2_1/c$. T = 20°C, R = 0.036, $R_w = 0.036$, number of reflections $(F_0 \ge 5\sigma(F_0)) = 4885$, GOF

= 1.07, number of reflections = 7336.

© 1994 American Chemical Society

[†] Presented in part at the 20th Rare Earth Research Conference, Monterey, CA, Sept 1993, Abstract P.III 11.
* NSERC Undergraduate Research Awardee.
(1) (a) Evans, W. J. Polyhedron 1987, 6, 803. (b) Rogers, R. D.; Rogers,

⁽¹²⁾ Spectroscopic and analytical data on the reported complexes are provided as supplementary material.



Figure 1. ORTEP views of complexes 2 and 4; for clarity, only labels of relevant atoms are shown.

interaction in a Ln(II)-N(SiMe₃)₂ complex.²⁰ The agostic interactions are not strong enough to maintain a rigid structure in solution; all the pyrazolyl rings and SiMe₃ groups are NMR equivalent. The average Yb-N(pz) distance of 2.46(2) Å is identical to that observed in 1, and the Yb-N1 length is 2.382(5) Å.

In an attempt to synthesize the hydride complex, reaction of 1 with a stoichiometric amount of KHBEt₃ was carried out. However, instead of a hydride, crystallization from hexane gave $(Tp^{tBu,Me})Yb(\mu-HBEt_3)(THF)$ (3), in 70% yield. The presence of the hydride bridge between Yb and BEt₃ was confirmed by ¹⁷¹Yb and ¹⁷¹Yb{¹H} NMR experiments; the 200 Hz ¹⁷¹Yb-¹H coupling constant is similar to the 170 Hz reported by Green²¹ for $[{(C_5H_5)_2NbH_2}_2Yb \cdot diglyme]$. To our knowledge, this is the first example of a metal complex with a coordinated triethylborohydride ligand.

Alkylation of 1 provides a convenient route for the synthesis of the first well-characterized Ln(II) hydrocarbyl complexes.

Reactions of 1 with 1 equiv of KR $(R = CH(SiMe_3)_2, CH_2)$ SiMe₃) in diethyl ether at -50 °C give, after simple workup, orange crystalline (Tp^{tBu,Me})Yb{CH(SiMe₃)₂} (4) and $(Tp^{Bu,Me})Yb(CH_2SiMe_3)(OEt_2)$ (5), respectively. The compounds are freely soluble in aliphatic, aromatic, and ether type solvents and are thermally stable. The solid state structure of 4 was determined,²² and preliminary results reveal an arrangement similar to 2. The $CH(SiMe_3)_2$ ligand is off the B1---Yb axis by 15.0°, and the ligand itself is distorted. The Yb-C-Si angles are very different (Yb-C1-Si1 = 132(3)° and Yb-C1-Si2 = 99-(3)°), and the result is a short Yb---C7 distance (3.08 Å). There are few structural comparisons, but the Yb-C distance of 2.55-(6) Å appears somewhat longer than the Yb-C(terminal Ph) bonds of 2.39(6)-2.46(4) Å in the mixed-valence Ph₂Yb(THF)-(µ-Ph)₃Yb(THF)₃ complex.²³

Preliminary reactivity studies have established that compound 2 readily undergoes metathetical reaction with HC=CPh to afford dark red $(Tp^{Bu,Me})Yb(C = CPh)$ (6) in good yield. This contrasts the behavior of $(C_5Me_5)_2$ Yb (OEt_2) toward HC=CPh.²⁴ The latter complex undergoes electron transfer reaction and gives a mixed-valence complex. Compounds 4 and 5 also react with hydrogen at room temperature and 1 atm of H_2 , 5 faster than 4. Efforts are underway to characterize the products.

In summary, use of the sterically demanding ligand Tp^{tBu,Me} has resulted in the isolation of the soluble, mono-ligated (Tp^{iBu,Me})-YbI(THF) (1). Compound 1 is a useful precursor to a new class of $(Tp^{tBu,Me})YbER$ complexes $(ER = HBEt_3, N(SiMe_3)_2,$ C=CPh, CH_2SiMe_3 , $CH(SiMe_3)_2$). Complexes 4 and 5 are the first examples of well-characterized divalent lanthanide hydrocarbyl complexes. The reactivity of the complexes and that of the analogous Sm derivatives²⁵ are under active study.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the University of Alberta for financial support. A Laboratory Graduate Assistantship from Argonne National Laboratory to A.H.B. and an Undergraduate Summer Research Award from NSERC to L.H. are gratefully acknowledged. We would also like to thank Dr. S. Trofimenko for useful discussions.

Supplementary Material Available: Spectroscopic and analytical data for complexes 1-6 and X-ray diffraction data including ORTEP diagrams, crystal and collection parameters, positional and anisotropic thermal parameters, and bond distances and angles for complexes 1, 2, and 4 (32 pages). This material is contained in many libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.

^{(20) (}a) Heeres, H. J.; Meetsma, A.; Teuben, J. H. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2637. (b) Boncella, J. M.; Andersen, R. A. Organometallics 1985, 4, 205. (c) Tilley, T. D.; Andersen, R. A.; Zalkin, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 3725.

⁽²¹⁾ Green, M. L. H.; Hughes, A. K.; Michaelidou, D. M.; Mountford, P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 591.

⁽²²⁾ X-ray data for 4: a = 16.731(10) Å, b = 18.459(11) Å, c = 27.260-(19) Å, $\beta = 92.10(5)^\circ$, V = 8413 Å³ with Z = 8 in space group C2/c. T = 20 °C, R = 0.147, $R_w = 0.188$, number of reflections ($F_0 \ge 5\sigma(F_0)$) = 2565, GOF = 3.81, number of reflections = 7936. This is preliminary data only, obtained on a poor quality crystal at room temperature. Attempts at obtaining

better crystals and collecting high-quality data are underway.
 (23) Bochkarev, M. N.; Khramenkov, V. V.; Rad'kov, Y. F.; Zakharov, L.
 N.; Struchkov, Y. T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 429, 27.
 (24) Boncella, J. M.; Tilly, T. D.; Andersen, R. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem.

Commun. 1984, 710.

^{(25) (}a) Zhang, unpublished results. (b) The synthesis of (Tp^{iBu,Me})LnI- $(THF)_n$ (Ln = Yb, n = 1; Sm, n = 2) has also been accomplished by Sella et al. We thank Professor Andrea Sella for informing us prior to publication.